

Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice - 12(2) • Spring • 962-970

2012 Educational Consultancy and Research Center

www.edam.com.tr/estp

# Examining the Training Process of a New Teacher Educator in the Field of the Education of the Hearing Impaired

# Hasan GÜRGÜR<sup>a</sup>

Anadolu University

#### Abstract

Training of beginning teacher educators has become a popular topic in the literature. This study aimed to investigate the training procedures of a new teacher educator who would be working in the division of the hearing impaired. The study was designed as action research and a new teacher educator, an experienced teacher educator and an academic experienced in the areas of hearing impairments and qualitative research participated in the study. The data collection techniques included researcher diary, documents, interviews, evaluation forms, audio and video tape recordings. The analyses revealed that the process took six phases to complete which were "observation", "evaluation under the control of the experienced teacher educator", "independent evaluation", "re-evaluation under the control of the experienced teacher educator", "semi-independent evaluation" and "full independent evaluation". It was seen that throughout the process, the roles and responsibilities of the teacher educators changed and that these changes were unique to each stage. Another finding was that the procedures were very beneficial for the researcher who was both a teacher educator and a classroom teacher.

#### Key Words

Teacher Preparation, Teacher Educator, New Teacher Educators, New Teacher Educator Training Process, Action Research.

The teaching practice studies included in preservice teacher training programs are important elements for training qualified teachers (Snoek & Zogla, 2009). Recently, the background, experience and qualifications of teacher educators (TE) responsible for teaching practice have been considered to be of great importance for the success of the training of teachers (Cochran-Smith, 2005; Dinkelman, Margolis, & Sikkenga, 2006; Ducharme & Ducharme, 1996; Koerner, Rust, & Baumgartner, 2002; Korthagen, Loughran, & Lunenberg, 2005; Liebhaber, 2000; Murray, Swennen, & Shagrir, 2008; Swennen & VanDer Klink, 2009; Timmer-

a Hasan GÜRGÜR, Ph.D., is currently an Assistant Professor at the Department of Special Education, Division of Hearing Impaired, His research interests include teacher education, teacher competencies, education of hearing impaired children, inclusion. Correspondence: Assist. Prof. Hasan GÜRGÜR, Anadolu University, Faculty of Education, Department of Special Education, 26000 Eskişehir/ Turkey. E-mail: hasangurgur@anadolu.edu.tr Phone: +90 222 335 05 80/1611. man, 2009; Zeichner, 2005). It is said that teacher educators should be primarily experienced in classroom teaching (Murray & Male, 2005) and they should be competent in the fields of education sciences, communication and research (Boyd, Harris, & Murray, 2007; Bullough, 2005; Guilfoyle, Hamilton, Pinnegar, & Placier, 1997; Harrison & McKeon, 2008). Considering hearing impaired students, the reason for these competencies can be further understood. This is because the hearing deficiencies of hearing impaired students negatively affect their communicative skills, which leads to many diversified requirements in social, cognitive and academic fields. These requirements can only be met by the teachers who have the required knowledge and experience, who have been trained by competent teacher educators (Christensen & Luckner, 1995; Easterbrooks, 1999; Lartz & Litchfield, 2006; Schirmer, 2008). Consequently, it is strongly emphasized that new teacher educators (NTE) should participated in a good training process in order to acquire professional competence, NTE seem

(Swennen & VanDer Klink, 2009; Ziechner, 2005). Despite this emphasis, the studies show that there are some problems regarding the training of NTEs (Daane, 2000; Ducharme & Ducharme, 1996; Murray, 2005; Rodgers & Keil, 2007; Van Velzen, Klink, Swennen, & Yaffe, 2008; Yusko, 2004). These studies also show that NTE are not aware of their roles and responsibilities in the practical studies and they do not have competence in guiding prospective teachers since they have not gone through a training process. The opinions, problems and teaching perceptions of prospective teachers regarding teaching practice in pre-service teacher training in every part of the educational system in Turkey have been discussed (Birgin, Çatlıoğlu, Kutluca, & Aydın, 2009; Çiçek & İnce, 2005; Dedeoğlu, Durali & Kış, 2004; Eraslan, 2009; Ergenekon, Özen & Batu, 2008; Gökçe & Demirhan, 2005; Köroğlu, Başer & Yavuz, 2000; Paker, 2005; Şişman & Acat, 2003; Ulusoy, 2009). However, only the teaching qualifications of TEs (Şen & Erişen, 2002), their cooperation with the practice schools during the process of teaching practice (Ünver, 2003), their roles and responsibilities (Demirkol, 2004) have been focused on. Therefore, point to the fact that the training of T.Es is not sufficient. A system has been implemented for the training of TEs who are going to work in the teacher-training program Department of Hearing Impaired Education, Faculty of Education, Anadolu University. For several reasons such as the long-term NTE training process, TEs retiring after completing their terms of office, inability to adequately meet the needs of the increasing number of prospective teachers, there has been an increased demand for NTEs in the Department of Hearing Impaired Teaching in recent years. The author has been included in this process due to the future need for TEs. After the author graduated from Anadolu University in 1997 from the same department, he was in charge of practical studies of teaching in different universities and fields; however, he received no specific training for this work during that time. Moreover, after graduating from the department in 1997, since he was not formally employed as a classroom teacher, the training process as a TE started with his development in classroom teaching at the end of 2008.

The present study aimed to analyze the NTE training process, in the Department of the Hearing Impaired Education. Within this department, it was expected that the definition and popularization of the model processes that were implemented for the training of TEs to work in every field of education could be ensured and the quality of teacher train-

ing programs could be improved. In this process, answers to the following questions would be sought: (1) What are the phases of the NTE training process? (2) What are the characteristics of the phases of the NTE training process? (3) What kind of benefits do the phases of the NTE training process have for NTE (4) What are the transitional criteria from one phase to another in the NTE training process?

#### Method

# Pattern

In line with the objective of the present study, the TE training process was configured as an *action research* in order to explain the experiences of NTEs and the qualifications developed through these experiences, to realize and to analyze the process in a reflective and systematic way (Johnson, 2002; Mertler, 2006; Somekh, 2006).

# **Participants**

The author of the article NTE working at the Department of Hearing Impaired Education, Anadolu University; an experienced assistant teacher (EAT) and an academic member took part in the study in order to strengthen the trustworthiness of the process.

# The Place of the Study and Studies of Teaching Practice

The present study was carried out at the Hearing Impaired Children's Education and Research Center (ICEM) where the Department of the Hearing Impaired Education has been implemented teaching practice since 1982. The teaching practice was basically carried out during the 7th and 8th semesters. In the department, the prospective teachers were divided into two groups and each group was involved in teaching practice on two days a week (Monday-Tuesday/Wednesday-Thursday) (Girgin, 2006).

#### **Data Collection Techniques**

In the study process, the primary data collection sources were a researcher's diary, document analysis, meetings, evaluation forms and video tape records (Brantlinger, Jimenez, Klingner, Pugach & Richardson, 2005; Johnson, 2002). For all the experiences and reflections, a 320 page diary was kept in total. The documents such as course plans and the model studies of prospective teachers were analyzed. In the process, the interviews were held these comprised;

272 digital files in total, 192 of which were one-toone studies, 74 of which were from group language courses and 6 were validity meetings. Moreover, in order to analyze the interactions of NTE during the processes he was teaching (group language course, one-to-one study), the process was recorded as video (86 one-to-one studies in total, 18 of which were group language courses).

# **Data Analysis**

The analysis of the data collected during the study process was carried out using a "constant comparison method" (Dey, 1993; Dye, Schatz, Rosenberg, & Coleman, 2000; Goetz & LeCompte, 1981; Johnson & Christensen, 2004; Starks & Trinidad, 2007). The reason why this method has been selected is to have findings by analyzing the data while being systematically collected during the research process. Therefore, it was ensured that the steps to be followed could be planned by making rapid decisions in the validity committee consisting of the participants in the study (6 validity committees were held in the period 10.10.2008 to 09.04.2009).

# **Study Ethics**

Ethical principles such as *honesty, confidential-ity, responsibility,* and *fair share* were taken into consideration during the study process (McNiff, Lomax, & Whitehead, 2004).

## Results

The phases arising out of the analysis of all of the data collected were labeled according to the roles and responsibilities that the NTE took in the studies of teaching practice. In the phases the NTE was also engaged in classroom teaching practice.

### First Phase: Observation

The first phase was conducted in 2008-2009 academic year during 10 October 2008 and 19 March 2009 and a total of 68 one-to-one studies was carried out by the prospective teachers and 7 one-to-one studies were carried out in the second half of the year and 8 group language courses were observed. NTE recorded 35 one-to-one studies in the first half of the year, 4 one-to-one studies, and 4 group language courses in the second half of the year as a teacher. In the phase, the validity committee met 4 times. The characteristics of the phase and

the role and responsibilities of the TEs were a mere observation, classroom practice, reflection, and feedback to NTE.

Benefits of Observation Phase to the NTE: Upon observing E.T.E within the framework of the characteristics of the observation phase, NTE had some experiences in determining the points he should be aware in roles and responsibilities of a teacher educator and in the practice of the prospective teachers, in preparing the lesson plans and materials and in examining them. Moreover, receiving feedback from the E.T.E, he tried to improve his teaching skills by benefiting from the feedback about how to give feedback and the feedback regarding the data he collected and his practice, appropriate planning and material preparation, lecturing and ability to reflect on his performance.

Evaluation of the Phase and Transition Criteria to the Second Phase: A validity meeting was held on 31.01.2009. In this line, the data collected were revised and it was stated that the NTE was aware of the roles and responsibilities of a TE has. Moreover, the practice the NTE carried out as a school teacher was revised, too. In the meeting, based on the skills the NTE has, it was concluded that he could perform what he observed in a controlled way.

# Second Phase: Assessment under the Control of the Experience Teacher Educator

The second phase was carried through in the 2008-2009 academic year during 20 March and 1 April 2009. A total of 11one-to-one studies which were carried out by the prospective teachers and 11 language group lessons were observed and feedbacks were given. NTE recorded 5 one-to-one studies and 2 group language lessons as a teacher. The characteristics of the second phase and the role and responsibilities of the TEs were a controlled evaluation, classroom practice, reflection on the classroom practice, and feedback to the NTE.

Benefits of the Evaluation Phase for the NTE under the Guidance of the ETE: Upon observing E.T.E within the framework of the characteristics of the evaluation phase of NTE training, the NTE had some experience/skills in observing the prospective teacher, in determining the important points that should be provided with feedback, in providing feedback and evaluation grades. Moreover, it was possible for NTE to be provided with feedback by E.T.E regarding material preparation, performing a practice and reflection after he planned his studies as a school teacher.

Evaluation of the Phase and Transition Criteria to the third phase: In the validity meeting held on 31.01.2009, it was decided that the NTE should fulfill his studies of teaching practice independently in order to test and evaluate himself foreseeing that he would reach a certain level based on the knowledge, skills and being a school teacher as a TE.

#### Third Phase: Independent Evaluation

The third phase was executed during 8 April and 30 April and a total of 12 one-to-one studies was carried out by the prospective teachers and 13 group language lessons were observed. The NTE recorded 5 one-to-one studies he carried out in his own class and 3 group language lessons in which he was a teacher. The characteristics of the phase and the role and responsibilities of the TEs were an independent evaluation, classroom practice, reflection on the classroom practice, and feedback to NTE.

Benefits of the Independent Evaluation Phase to the New Teacher Educator: Upon revising the knowledge he gained within the framework of the characteristics of the independent evaluation phase, the NTE had the opportunity to make progress and to determine the subjects he had difficulty in and also to apply the knowledge and skill he gained independently. The NTE could plan his advanced practice by undertaking this practice in his class, reflecting on the feedback he received.

Evaluation of the Phase and Transition Criteria to the Fourth Phase: In the validity meeting held on 01.05.2009, a practice of the NTE which took place with a group language lesson was monitored and assessed. The NTE talked about the concerns he had about determining and assessing the points where he should provide feedback and an evaluation score. The benefits of the NTE had in the phase were also shared and it was decided that the NTE should repeat the teaching practice observed by the ETE in order to eliminate the concerns of the NTE.

# Fourth Phase: Evaluation under the Control of the Experienced Teacher Educator

The third phase was completed in the 2008-2009 academic year from 05 May and 21 May and a total of 13 one-to-one practice sessions was carried out by the prospective teachers and 20 group language lessons were observed. The NTE recorded 8 one-to-one studies he carried out and 3 group language lessons in which he was a teacher. The characteristics of the phase and the role and responsibilities of

the TEs were the re-checked evaluation, classroom practice, reflection on the classroom practice and feedback to the NTE.

Benefits of the Evaluation Phase to the NTE under the Control of ETE Again: The NTE questioned the findings he obtained in this phase and focusing on the concerns he had, tried to create solutions with the support of the ETE The NTE did not have any problems in seeing the points that he should be aware of in the monitoring of the prospective teacher and felt more independent in providing feedback and assessing the teacher with the support of the ETE. The NTE made progress in preparing and applying the course materials in compliance with the lesson plans and reflecting on his teaching.

Evaluation of the Phase and Transition Criteria to the Fifth Phase: During the process, in the validity meeting held on 09.05.2009 the abovementioned benefits were deemed helpful. In this phase, the ETE was interviewed and it was reported that the NTE internalized the knowledge and experience he had gained during the process of becoming more independent and he had made progress in his classroom teaching. Moreover, the NTE talked about the problems he felt he had before regarding his concerns. Therefore, it was decided that both an independent and a controlled phase should be applied in order to increase his self-confidence.

### Fifth Phase: Semi-Independent Evaluation Phase

In this phase independent observations were undertaken on; 70 one-to-one studies and 20 group language lessons were carried out by prospective teachers in the 2009-2010 academic year and 63 one-to-one studies and 29 group language lessons were carried out under the guidance of the ETE. The NTE recorded 28 one-to-one studies he carried out and 6 group language lessons. The characteristics of the phase and the role and responsibilities of the TEs were revision of the process, semi-independent evaluation, classroom practice, reflection on the classroom practice, and feedback to the NTE.

Benefits of the Semi-Independent Evaluation Phase to the NTE: The NTE reviewed all the information he received regarding all the phases. The NTE had the opportunity to apply the knowledge and skills he obtained during the process in a controlled and at the same time in an independent manner. In addition, carrying out more effective practice and reflections in his teaching, he was able to improve his teaching skills through the continuing feedback from the ETE.

Evaluation of the Phase and Transition Rationales to the Sixth Phase: Individual meetings were held with the committee members whose phases were completed at the end of the academic year. Accordingly, the ETE and NTE reviewed the benefits considered to have been gained in the process and they agreed that there were some multi-functional benefits in the context of teacher educator training and there were advanced developments in the classroom teaching, as well. In this context, it is decided that the NTE oversees the training teachers' teaching practice entirely independent in the 2010-2011 academic year.

## Sixth Phase: A Fully Independent Evaluation

In the sixth phase of the NTE training process, a total of 97 one-to-one studies and 89 group language lessons carried out by the prospective teachers were observed. The NTE did not record his lessons but recorded his reflections on his practice in his diary. The roles and responsibilities of the TEs within the framework of the characteristics of the research were fully independent evaluation, classroom practice and reflection on the practice.

Benefits of the Fully Independent Evaluation Phase to the NTE: Within the framework of the characteristics of this phase, the NTE was able to review all the information he had gained regarding all the phases and to determine the areas, if any, in which he had experienced difficulty. Also, he had the opportunity to apply the knowledge and the skills he had obtained during the process in a fully independent way. In addition, making more effective practice and reflections in his classroom, he could increase the quality of his teaching practice.

**Evaluation of the Phase:** The sixth phase will be completed in the 2010-2011 Academic Year. In this phase, no validity meetings are held. The NTE made progress in both his teacher educator training and classroom teaching in this phase. He has had almost no problems as a TE and a classroom teacher, and the future phases will be planned to revise the phase which is about to be completed at the point of writing.

## Discussion

Fundamentally, the NTE training process in the Department of the Hearing Impaired Education was carried out phase by phase and the aim was to continuously develop the NTE's classroom teaching skills As the pattern of the research was an activity research, it aimed to take into account the NTE ex-

periences and thoughts of the NTE in this bilateral and complex process, to realize and monitor their steps in cycles, and to reflect on them within the process (Mertler, 2006). In the findings, first of all, attention should be paid to the idea that the roles and the responsibilities of the NTE were fundamentally diversified within the framework of the characteristics of the phases. In each phase, the NTE took different level of assistance from the ETE, and he worked cooperatively with the NTE and the roles and responsibilities of both TEs became different. At this point, it is possible to explain the phases more concretely the phases by answering the question "why did the roles and responsibilities of the TE's change and for what reasons?" The reason for using observation was to encourage the NTE to see how he was functioning in the teaching practice and to understand these functions. The second phase was carried out in order to enable the NTE to perform his observations under the guidance of the ETE. The third phase was implemented in order for the NTE to internalize his roles and responsibilities in the context of the benefits he gained. In this stage, again a controlled phase was implemented in order for the NTE to overcome the concerns he had. After that, a semi-independent phase was realized so that the NTE could review his competences, increase his self-confidence and internalize the process completely. Finally, a fully independent phase was carried out because the NTE believed he was sufficiently competent to supervise the teaching practice of trainee teachers by himself. As can be seen, the result that the NTE made progress step by step through internalizing the competences he needed in the context of implementing of teaching practice were observed at every stage. It could be observed that, again in the context of the above mentioned rationales, the roles and responsibilities of the ETE concerning the supervision of teaching practice carried out actively in the first phase gradually decreased through the subsequent phases. During the training process, the NTE also developed his classroom teaching skills and reflect this in his practice, and received feedback from the ETE. Thus, the NTE tried to develop his competence in classroom teaching. At the beginning, the ETE had observed the NTE and gave feedback, and as he progressed, the frequency of the feedback decreased and finally the ETE gave no feedback. At this point, the interaction between the competence of the NTE in classroom teaching and his teaching practice should also be mentioned. The NTE always tried to transfer his practice experiences gained during classroom teaching practice to his practice

as a classroom teacher, and transfer the skills he had as a classroom teacher to the supervision of teaching practice. This provided a rich bilateral development experience. In the literature, it is pointed out that being model for prospective teachers in their teaching practice and deciding quickly on the feedback is an issue, and that this requires sufficient competence especially in the field of classroom teaching (Berry, 2009; Boyd et al., 2007; Morberg & Eisenschmidt, 2009; Tanner & Davies, 2010). If considered as a whole, it is observed that the NTE has always been trained in collaboration with experienced colleagues. The most important feature of this process is that the phases take the performance level of the NTE into consideration and that his needs are determined by observing the positive aspects of his teaching and his development during the process. All the studies carried out are clear indicators of how individual-oriented the process is in that the existing performance of the NTE is taken into account and the skills he has gained are always seen as criteria. In the literature, which supports this process, it is indicated that the NTEs should be supported by their ETEs collaborate with them, be guided and provided with feedback by them, during their training process (Barkham, 2005; Harrison & McKoen, 2008; Houston, Ross, Robinson & Malcom, 2010; Murray, 2008; Murray & Male, 2005; Tanner & Davies, 2010).

Finally, particular stress should be given to the importance in the process of the training of the reflections the NTE made in relation to the supervision of teaching practice and classroom practice NTE. During the validity meetings and individual meetings the NTE was asked the question "what could you have done to make it better? The NTE asked himself this question while making reflections for every practice he undertook. Thus, it can be said that what the NTE knows or does not know, the characteristics that he has to improve and whether he is aware of them or not are unearthed. In summary, by asking himself that questions, the NTE gained the skill of evaluating another teachers teaching practice and at the same, he developed his own self-evaluation skill which enables him to make progress and enrich his skills. In literature, in terms of regarding the training process as being basically realized reflectively, in this study the NTE making reflections on his own education-development process is of great importance in the discussions about how the NTE's can gain the required competence (Dinkelman et al., 2006; Guilfoyle et al., 1995; Harford & MacRuaic, 2008; Martinez, 2008; Tanner & Davies, 2010). Goodell (2006) explained the reason for this by stating that the skill of reflection presents the trainees with the opportunity to be their own internal supervisors and mentors. On the other hand, it is pointed out in literature that the importance of reflection in literature for the TE and prospective teachers can develop their skills on their own (Harford & MacRuaic, 2008).

This means after the training process is completed and when the NTE becomes independent, he will always sustain his development with the reflection skills he has gained professionally. As can be seen, the training process of NTE is a very complex and tiring process for both the NTE and ETE The reader may ask this question at this point: " Surely the ETE could have directly taught the NTE the competence that NTE he needed?". In answering this question, it is considered beneficial to see the results and the interaction between the ETE and NTE from a perspective of the trainer and trainee. For this reason, it can be analyzed in the process within the framework of Vygotsky's Constructivism Theory. According to this, the trainees learn about things around them by internalizing them and they need to scaffold them based on the characteristics of their individual learning processes. Vygotsky stressed that the trainer could create an effective learning process by entering the individual's zone of proximal and thus determining the learner's personal characteristics and experiences. According to Vygotsky, this training process means that the educator promotes the knowledge of the learner by guiding and supporting him and being a model for him. In other words, this process can be summarized as providing a strong support at the beginning and then gradually giving less support until the learner becomes independent in order to ensure complete learning (Golan & Fransson, 2009; McKenzie, 1999; Olson & Platt, 2000; Raymond, 2000; Vygotsky, 1978). Hence, the training process of the NTE was planned considering his individual performance level, and the ETE guided, directed and provided him with suggestions and feedback during this process. This situation gradually enabled the NTE to attain the skills with which he could supervise trainee teacher's teaching practice and classroom teaching independently. This characteristic should be seen as the strong aspects of the NTE training process which is carried out in the Department of Hearing Impaired Education. On the other hand, the NTE was facing real teaching situations during the training process and was always on the job. This provided rich experiences for effective learning. Furthermore, it is also important to comment on the existence of a very important balance in this training process, which means the NTE had some important experiences regarding making reflections about each practice he performed in the training process, looking at himself, questioning what he understands and briefly considering whether he is aware of himself of not. The NTE was guided evaluated and provided with feedback in the validity and individual meetings with the ETE in relation to his reflections. This created a cycle of receiving feedback performing his next practice and questioning himself again. Therefore, the ETE did not transfer direct information, he facilitated the process whereby the NTE could envisage, comprehend and apply the process within the framework of his own learning skills. This balanced approach should be seen as another indicator of why the process was applied phase by phase.

In the present study the following concepts come to the fore in terms of analyzing the process of the training of the NTE: Cooperation, support, individualism, flexibility, balanced learning, gradual learning, providing rich learning experiences, reflection, awareness raising, observation, giving feedbacks and internalization. These concepts are the milestones of the NTE training process which has been implemented for many years in the Department of Hearing Impaired Education. All these concepts should be evaluated as a whole and should be seen as the pillars of the training process. Finally, you may ask yourself this question: "Has the NTE training process which resulted in a fully independent evaluation phase been completed?" The answer is "No" if we consider that learning is life-long learning. There will always be some areas where the NTE will need support as he continues to reflect upon his supervision of teaching practice and classroom studies. Being independent should not been interpreted simply as gaining the competencies that the NTE needs; we should see it from the point of view that he can now solve most of the problems he may face.

In the context of the results arising out of the research, in relation to the supervision of teaching practice, it is obvious that cooperation in the training of the NTEs is a both national and international need. At this point, it is necessary to state that a NTE training model adapted to the conditions in Turkey should be developed. In the meantime, it may be proposed that the ETEs should be consulted and even trained in the NTE training process. Further research can be undertaken as to the applicability and features of the phases proposed in this study for NTEs who are experienced classroom teacher, unlike NTEs in this study. The development of a NTEs

classroom teaching skills should be researched as a separate topic. In addition, a detailed analysis of the process of giving feedback by the TE should be analyzed since the TE has an important role in practical teacher education. In the NTE training process, an information and experience based collaboration between experienced and new colleagues can also separately be investigated. Consequently, it can be suggested that the complex and multi-functional competences of the TEs be the focus of the further researches and a common/qualitative research with generalizability dimension be carried out.

# References/Kaynakça

Barkham, J. (2005). Reflections and interpretations on life in academia: A mentee speaks. *Mentoring & Tutoring, 13* (3), 331-44. Retrieved November 02 February, 2011, from www. ingentaconnect.com/content/routledg/cmet; jsessionid=2cu90rs309m8.alice.

Berry, A. (2009). Professional self-uderstanding as expertise in teaching about teaching. *Teachers and Teaching: Theory and practice*, 15 (2), 305-318.

Birgin, O., Çatlıoğlu, H., Coştu, S., & Aydın, S. (2009). The investigation of the views of student mathematics teachers towards computer-assisted mathematics instruction. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 1 (1), 676-680.

Boyd, P., Harris, K., & Murray, J. (2007). Becoming a teacher educator: Guidelines for the induction of newly appointed lecturers in initial teacher education. Higher Education Academy Education Subject Centre, ESCalate University of Bristol, Graduate School of Education.

Brantlinger, E., Jimenez, R., Klingner, J., Pugach, M., & Richardson, V. (2005). Qualitative studies in special education. *Exceptional Children*, 71, 195-207.

Bullough, R. V. (2005). Being and becoming a mentor: School-based teacher educators and teacher educator identity. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 21, 143-155.

Christensen, K., & Luckner, J. (1995). Teacher preparation in the 21st century: Meeting diverse needs. *Journal of Childhood Communication Disorders*, 17, 27-31.

Çiçek, Ş. ve İnce, M. L. (2005). Öğretmen adaylarının öğretmenlik uygulaması sürecine ilişkin görüşleri. *Hacettepe Journal* of Sport Sciences, 16 (3), 146-155.

Cochran-Smith, M. (2005). Teacher educators as researchers: Multiple perspectives. *Teaching and Teacher Education, 21*, 219-225.

Daane, C. J. (2000). Clinical Master Teacher program: Teachers' and interns' perceptions of supervision with limited university intervention. Action in Teacher Education, 22 (1), 93-100.

Dedeoğlu, S., Durali, S. ve Kış, A. (2004). Özel Eğitim Bölümü Zihin Engelliler Öğretmenliği Anabilim Dalı 3., 4. sınıf öğrencileri ve mezunlarının kendi bölüm programları, öğretmen yetiştirme ve eğitim fakülteleri ile ilgili düşünce ve önerileri. Anadolu Üniversitesi Eğitim Bilimleri Fakültesi, Özel Eğitim Dergisi, 5 (1), 47-55.

Demirkol, İ. (2004). Expectations for the roles of cooperating teachers and university supervisors during the practice teaching period as perceived by university supervisors, cooperating teachers and student teachers. Yayımlanmamış yüksek lisans tezi, Boğazici Üniversitesi, Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü, İstanbul.

Dey, I. (1993). Creating categories: Qualitative data analysis. London: Routledge.

Dinkelman, T., Margolis, J., & Sikkenga, K. (2006). From teacher to teacher educator: Reframing knowledge in practice. *Studying Teacher Education*, 2 (2), 119-136.

Ducharme, M., & Ducharme, E. (1996). A study of teacher educators: Research from the USA. *Journal of Education of Teaching*, 22 (1), 57-70.

Dye, J. F., Schatz, I. M., Rosenberg, B. A., & Coleman, S. T. (2000). Constant comparison method: A kaleidoscope of data. The Qualitative Report, 4 (1/2). Retrieved November 22 February, 2011, from http://www.nova.edu/ssss/QR/QR3-4/dye.html.

Easterbrooks, S. (1999). Improving practices for students with hearing impairments. *Exceptional Children*, 65 (4), 537-554.

Eraslan, A. (2009). İlköğretim matematik öğretmen adaylarının öğretmenlik uygulaması üzerine görüşleri. Necatibey Eğitim Fakültesi Fen ve Matematik Elektronik Dergisi, 3 (1), 207-221.

Ergenekon, Y., Özen, A., & Batu,S. (2008). An evaluation of the views of mental retardation practicum students on teaching practicum. *Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice*, 8 (3), 881-991.

Girgin, Ü. (2006). İşitme engelli çocuklar için bireysel ve grup eğitimi. U. Tüfekçioğlu (Ed.) İşitme, konuşma ve görme sorunu olan çocukların eğitimi içinde (s. 119-138). Eskişehir: A. Ü. Yavınları no: 1514.

Goetz, J. P., & LeCompte, M. D. (1981). Ethnographic research and the problem of data reduction. *Anthropology and Education Quarterly*, 12, 51-70.

Golan, M., & Fransson, G. (2009). Professional codes of conduct: Towards an ethical framework for novice teacher educators. In A. Swennen & M. VanDer Klink (Eds.), Becoming a teacher educator: Theory and practice for teacher educators (pp. 45–65), Dordrecht: Springer.

Goodell, J. E. (2006). Using critical incident reflections: A self study as a matematics teacher educator. *Journal of Matematics Teacher Education*, 9, 221-248.

Gökçe, E. ve C. Demirhan. (2005). Öğretmen adaylarının ve ilköğretim okullarında görev yapan uygulama öğretmenlerinin öğretmenlik uygulaması etkinliklerine ilişkin görüşleri. Ankara Üniversitesi Eğitim Bilimleri Fakültesi Dergisi, 38 (1), 43-71.

Guilfoyle, K., Hamilton, M. L., Pinnegar, S., & Placier, M. (1995). Becoming teachers of teachers: The paths of four beginners. In T. Russell, & F. Korthagen (Eds.) *Teachers who teach teachers* (pp. 35–55). London/Washington, DC: Falmer Press.

Hardford, J., & MacRuaic, G. (2008). Engaging student teachers in meaningful reflective practice. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 24, 1884-1892.

Harrison, J., & McKeon, F. (2008). The formla and situated learning of beginning teacher educators in England: Identifying characteristics for successful induction in the transition from workplace in schools to workplace in higher education. European Journal of Teacher Education, 31 (2), 151-168.

Houston, N., Ross, H., Robinson, J., & Malcom, H. (2010). Inside research, inside ourselves: Teacher educators take tock of their research practice. *Educational Action Research*, 18 (4), 556-569.

Johnson, P. A. (2002). A short guide to action research. Boston: Allyn and Bacon.

Johnson, R. B., & Christensen, L. B. (2004). Educational research: Quantitative, qualitative, and mixed approaches. Boston, MA: Allyn and Bacon.

Koerner, M., Rust, F., & Baumgartner, F. (2002). Exploring roles in student teaching placements. *Teacher Education Quarterly*, 29 (2), 35-58

Korthagen, F., Loughran, J., & Lunenberg, M. (2005). Teaching teachers-studies into the expertise of teacher educators: An introduction to this theme issue. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 21, 107-115.

Köroğlu, H., Başer, N., & Yavuz, G. (2000). Okullarda uygulama çalımalarının değerlendirilmesi. *Hacettepe Üniversitesi Eitim Fakültesi Dergisi*, 19, 85-95.

Lartz, M. N., & Litchfield, S. K. (2006). Administrators' ratings of competencies needed to prepare preservice teachers for oral deaf education programs. American Annals of the Deaf, 150 (5), 433-442.

Liebhaber, B. G. (2000). The student teacher in your classroom. Teaching Music, 8 (2), 54-61.

Martinez, K. (2008). Academic induction for teacher educators. Asia-Pacific Journal of Teacher Education, 36 (1), 35-51.

McKenzie, J. (1999). Scaffolding for Success. From Now On: The Educational Journal, 9 (4), 20-35.

McNiff, J., Lomax, P., & Whitehead, J. (2004). You and your action research project. London: Hyde Publications.

Mertler, C. A. (2006). Action research: Teachers as researchers in the classroom. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Morberg, A., & Eisenschmidt, E. (2009) Second phase induction for teacher educators: Challenges and possibilities. In A. Swennen & M. VanDer Klink (Eds.), Becoming a teacher educator: Theory and practice for teacher educators (pp. 103–113), Dordrecht: Springer.

Murray, J. (2005). Re-addressing the priorities: new teacher educators and induction into higher education. *European Journal of Teacher Education*, 28 (1), 67-85.

Murray, J. (2008). Teacher educators' induction into higher education: work-based learning in the micro communities of teacher education. *European Journal of Teacher Education*, 3 (2), 117-133.

Murray, J., & Male, T. (2005). Becoming teacher educator: Evidence from the field. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 21, 125-141.

Murray, A., Swennen, A., & Shagrir, L. (2008). Understanding teacher educators' work and identities. In A. Swennen & M. VanDer Klink (Eds.), Becoming a teacher educator: Theoryand practice for teacher educators (pp. 29-43), Dordrecht: Springer.

Olson, J., & Platt, J. (2000). The instructional cycle: Teaching children and adolescents with special needs. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice-Hall, Inc.

Paker, T. (2005, Eylül). Öğretmenlik uygulamasında öğretmen adaylarının uygulama öğretmeni ve uygulama öğretim elemanının yönlendirmesiyle ilgili karşılaştıkları sorunlar. XIV. Ulusal Eğitim Bilimleri Kongresinde sunulan bildiri, Pamukkale Üniversitesi, Eğitim Fakültesi, Denizli.

Raymond, E. (2000). Cognitive characteristics: Learners with mild disabilities. Needham Heights: Allyn& Bacon Com.

Rodgers, A., & Keil, V. L. (2007). Restructuring a traditional student teacher supervision model: Fostering enhanced professional development and mentoring within a professional development school context. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 23, 63-80.

Schirmer, B. R. (2008). How effectively are we preparing teacher educators in special education? The case of deaf education. *American Annals of the Deaf, 153* (4), 411-419.

Snoek, M., & Zogla, I. (2009). Teacher education in Europe; main characteristics and developments. In A. Swennen & M. VanDer Klink (Eds.), Becoming a teacher educator: Theory and practice for teacher educators (pp. 11-29), Dordrecht: Springer.

Somekh, B. (2006). Action research: A methodology for change and development. Glasgow: Open Uni. Press.

Starks, H., & Trinidad, S. B. (2007). Choose your method: A comparison of phenomenology, discourse analysis, and grounded theory. *Qualitative Health Research*, 17, 1372-1380.

Swennen, A., & VanDer Klink, M. (Ed.) (2009). Becoming a teacher educator: Theory and practice for teacher educators. Dordrecht: Springer.

Şen, Ş. H. ve Erişen, Y. (2002). Öğretmen yetiştiren kurumlarda öğretim elemanlarının etkili öğretmenlik özellikleri. Gazi Üniversitesi Gazi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi, 22 (1), 99-116.

Şişman, M. ve Acat, B. (2003). Öğretmenlik uygulaması çalışmalarının öğretmenlik mesleğinin algılanmasındaki etkisi. Fırat Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi, 13 (1), 235-250.

Tanner, H., & Davies, S. M. B. (2010). How engagement with research changes the professional practice of teacher-educators: A case study from the Welsh Education Research Network. *Journal of Education for Teaching*, 35 (4), 373-389.

Timmerman, G. (2009). Teachers educators modelling their teachers? European Journal of Teacher Education, 32 (3), 225-238.

Ulusoy, K. (2009). Teacher candidates' perspectives about mentor teachers' teaching methods while teaching history lessons. *Electronic Journal of Social Sciences*, 8(30), 1-17.

Ünver, G. (2003). Öğretmenlik uygulamasında işbirliği: Bir durum çalışması. *Gazi Üniversitesi Gazi Eğitim Fakültesi Dergisi*, 23 (1), 87-100.

Van Velzen, C., VanDer Klink, M., Swennen, A., & Yaffe, E. (2008, August). The induction of teacher educators: the needs of beginning teacher educators. Paper presented at the ATEE Conference, Brussels, Belgium.

Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind and society: The development of higher mental processes. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.

Yusko, B. P. (2004). Promoting reflective teaching conversations: Framing and reframing the problem. *Teaching Education*, 15 (4), 363-374.

Zeichner, K. (2005). Becoming a teacher educator: A personal perspective. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 21, 117-124.